

US Army Corps of Engineers®



Advancing Science and Practice at the Seven Mile Island Innovation Lab in New Jersey's Back Bays

Lenore P. Tedesco, PhD

**The Wetlands Institute** 

Itedesco@wetlandsinstitute.org

## Seven Mile Island Innovation Laboratory

- A Proving Ground Using Natural and Nature-Based Features to Provide Ecological Uplift and Enhanced Resilience for Ecosystems and Coastal Communities
- A Test Bed to Advance and Improve Dredging Techniques and Marsh Restoration and Coastal Feature Creation Techniques in Coastal New Jersey
- Using a Landscape Approach and Adaptive Management to Move From Pilot Projects to Ecosystem Solutions
- Based on an International Concept Pioneered by the Dutch
- 24 sq mi Back Bay Marsh Dominated System with Shallow Bays, Sounds and Tidal Inlets Bisected by the NJ Intracoastal Waterway
- 50+ Member Working Group for Knowledge Sharing
- More than 30 Scientists Working in SMIIL





















# **Relative Sea Level Trend**





- New Jersey SLR is 2x Global Average
- 1911 2021 rose 1.36 feet in 100 years
- Rate has increased from 2010 of 4.04 mm/year to
  4.16
- Has risen 4" since 2000
- Typical marsh accretion rates in the area can be 4 mm/year
  - Regional subsidence rates are ~2 mm/year

### Sea Level Rise Contributed Substantially to Sandy's Impact

7.9" global sea level rise caused Sandy to flood an additional 27 square miles of coast than if same storm struck in 1880'sAdditional 83,000 people exposed to coastal flooding



Human-caused sea-level rise was responsible for about 18% (\$5 billion) of the Sandy recovery costs in New Jersey; it exposed about 39 thousand people in New Jersey to Sandy's flooding (Strauss et al., in prep.).



Seaside Heights NJ; Image: Tim Lawson, NJ Governor's Office



## **Evidence of Marsh Drowning**



- Conversion to mudflat
- Swiss cheese and expanding panne margins







# Marsh Edge Erosion Contribution to Wetland Loss



Along open fetch areas from storms Boat wake induced erosion Other causes?





USFWS Sea Level Affecting Marshes Model







High Tide Flooding (MHW SLAMM) and Coastal Resilience













# **Site Selection**

- Key drivers of many BUDM projects:
  - Composition, volume, and location of available material
    - Transport distance is a major limiting factor
- Navigational dredging needs of USACE and state transportation networks are the largest "sediment brokers" nationally.
  - They often need partners and partner sites to complete navigation channel maintenance dredging.
- Marsh condition alone is unlikely to drive site selection.
  - Exceptions may be dredging specifically to source material for marsh restoration





## Marrying Site Selection with Dredging

- Once Source Sediments and Dredging Need is Identified Ecological Aspects Take Center Stage
- Marsh Condition Assessments, Habitat and Sensitive Species Status and Concerns Identified, Connections to Community Resilience and Benefits
- Develop Set of Projects to Address Ecosystem and Community Resiliency Needs Utilizing Available Sediment to Address Navigational Dredging Needs



Wetlands ERDC

- Sturgeon and Gull Islands are drowning low lying marsh islands
- Historic dredge material placement sites created important wading bird habitat
  - Nesting areas account for nesting for 35% of all colonial wading birds in NJ
- Habitat degrading with elevation loss
- Island drowning destabilizing marshes



### Create a System of Solutions

- Raise Elevations of Marsh Platform Across a Gradient of Elevations (MEE)
  - Target Wading Bird Nesting Elevations Transitional
    Upland Shrub Habitat (>3.5' NAVD88)
  - Target High Marsh Elevations for Salt Marsh Sparrow (2.7' – 3.1' NAVD88)
  - Target Low Marsh Elevation for Fish Habitat (2.0–2.7' NAVD88) and Shorebird and Wader Foraging
- Create Marsh Edge Protection Zone (MEP)
  - More Natural Marsh Edge Slope and Wave Energy Buffer
  - Strategic Placement for Marsh Nourishment
  - Intertidal Shoal to Marsh Edge Elevation (2.0'NAVD88)
- Enhance Intertidal and Subtidal Shallows (ISS)
  - Target Elevations to MLLW Where Macroalgal Flats
    Transition from Sparse to Densely Vegetated (-1.0 MLLW)
     0' MLLW)



US Army Corps of Engineers

Ecological Goals for Both Gull and Sturgeon Island Placements

- Marsh Elevation Enhancement (MEE)
  - ~22 acres of elevation lift
  - 3.9' NAVD88 1.8' NAVD88
  - Excellent grass recovery
  - Migratory shorebird and sparrow use
- Marsh Edge Protection (MEP)
  - Built to marsh edge (2.0' NAVD88) down to MLLW
  - Placed ~9000 cy and gained 1 2.5' of elevation
  - 50% reduction in volume (~4700 cy) after
    16 months and 1-1.5' of elevation gain
  - ~ Measured wave height and energy reduction along marsh edge during May Nor'easter (Perkey et al.)
- Enhanced Intertidal Shallows (ISS)
  - Placed ~8700 cy and gained 1-2.5' of elevation gain and shallowed up to MLLW
  - Reduced to ~4100 cy after 16 months and 1-1.5' of elevation gain so
    ~50% reduction in volume
- Documented very low turbidity during and following placement on par with storm generated turbidity and in close proximity to placement (Fall et al., 2022)



Enhancement – 1 Month Post-Placement

Marsh Edge Protection Feature – 1 Month Post-placement

Unconfined placement of 40,000 cubic yards of mixed fine sand and mud in September 2020

MFF

MEP

### **EWN** Outcomes Gull Island Projects

- Placed in Two Phases in 2020
  - March 2020
    - ► 4,200 cubic yards
  - September 2020
    - ► 15,000 cubic yards
  - Mixed fine sand and mud
- Marsh Elevation Enhancement (MEE)
  - ► 3.5 acres of enhancement
  - ► 3.0' NAVD88 grading down to 1.9'
- Marsh Edge Protection (MEP)
  - Placed small sand ridge along toe of erosional slope
- Enhanced Intertidal Shallows (ISS)
  - Shallowed above MLLW along eastern island to extend flats northward
- Returned in Fall 2022 for Phase 3

### **Sturgeon Island Placements**





2021 UAV Orthomosaic





2022 UAV Orthomosaic

- 2020 unconfined placement achieved 1.5 2.5' of marsh elevation enhancement
- Vegetation recolonization is rapidly occurring naturally via seed bank in year 2





Courtesy of Harris et al.



# Sturgeon Island Phase 3 – Fall 2022

- Placed 24,000 CY of fine sand to create sandy marsh edge protection features
  - Intercepting wave energy
- Used containment to elevate 0.4 acre for elevated bird nesting habitat
  - Placed more than 3' of material
  - Built to 4.0' NAVD88
- Employed Y-valve to switch between containment and subtidal features
  - Maintain dredging efficiency
  - Allow time for contained area to dewater
  - Slow and manage flow volumes and velocities















**Nesting Habitat Clusters: Mimicking Nature and Managing Navigational Needs** Dredging Need

- Sandy Shoaled Portion of NJIWW
- Repetitive Availability of 4,000 7,000 CY 96% Fine to Medium Sand
- ▶ 3 Year Return Cycle for Shoal Clearing

#### Ecologic Need and Solutions

- Declining and Stressed Populations of Beach-Nesting Species
- Create Network of Nesting Sites at Different Stages of Succession
- Separate Populations for Resilience
- Dredging Return Frequency as Adaptive Management Tool
- Ecological Value During Site Evolution Trajectory

#### Dredging Value

- Provides for Repetitive Placement Cyc
- Matches Ecological Goals with Dredge Goals
- Minimizes Permitting and Reduces Cos









2018 Placement



Marsh platform had 0.1 -0.4' elevation gain(mud)

Nesting Habitat had 3-4' elevation gain (sand) © 2018 Google

01-02-2019/- 12-20-20 Delta



Construction then Repetitive Adaptive Management

- 1 acre sites 6,000 CY initial placement
- 3 year return cycle refurbished with 4,000 CY each time
- Free pump until enough material to create containment berms
- Match maintenance dredging of small sandy shoals with ecological goals for at risk species

## TWI 2.0 Building Resilience for The Wetlands Institute



### Wetland Ecosystem and Ecological Landscape

- Sea Level Rise Rates Altering Marsh Ecosystem
- Increasing Storm Risk Impacts and Uncertainties



# **TWI Existing Landcover**







High Marsh – Above Mean Tide and Less Flooded

Low Marsh – Mean Sea Level to Mean High Water; Flooded Daily By Tides

Mudflat (Tidal Flat) – Unvegetated Intertidal Area Flooded and Exposed by Tides Daily

MUD FLAT (MF) OPEN WATER (OW) EXISTING PATH



# Sea Level Rise Framework

Sea level rise predictions by Rutgers University:

|              |                    | 2030 | 2050 | 2070<br>Emissions |      |      |
|--------------|--------------------|------|------|-------------------|------|------|
|              |                    |      |      |                   |      |      |
|              | Chance SLR Exceeds |      |      | Low               | Mod. | High |
| Low End      | >95% Chance        | 0.3  | 0.7  | 0.9               | 1.0  | 1.1  |
| Likely Range | >83% Chance        | 0.5  | 0.9  | 1.3               | 1.4  | 1.5  |
|              | ~50% Chance        | 0.8  | 1.4  | 1.9               | 2.2  | 2.4  |
|              | <17% Chance        | 1.1  | 2.1  | 2.7               | 3.1  | 3.5  |
| High End     | <5% Chance         | 1.3  | 2.6  | 3.2               | 3.8  | 4.4  |

\*"Sea Level Rise in New Jersey." Values chosen for design are highlighted in orange.

- SLR Projections are above year 2000 (1991-2009 average) baseline
  - 2000 2022 (2001 2019 average) observed 4" (0.03')
- Selected exceedance chance <17% based on selection being utilized by State of NJ for Planning and Regulatory Policy
- Focused on 2030 and 2050 Timelines



### TWI Site Conditions Under Adopted Sea Level Rise Scenario (<17%) 2030



UPLAND HIGH MARSH LOW MARSH MUD FLAT OPEN WATER 2050



The Do Nothing Scenario



## Evolution of Habitat Elevation Ranges/Establishing Target Ecological Elevations

|         | 2019 TWI                    |       | 2030 Rutgers |       | 2050 Rutgers |       |  |  |  |  |
|---------|-----------------------------|-------|--------------|-------|--------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| SLR     | n/a                         |       | 1.1          |       | 2.1          |       |  |  |  |  |
|         | Elevation Range (Ft NAVD88) |       |              |       |              |       |  |  |  |  |
| Habitat | Low                         | High  | Low          | High  | Low          | High  |  |  |  |  |
| UP      | 3.281                       | -     | 3.937        | -     | 5.249        | -     |  |  |  |  |
| HM      | 2.953                       | 3.281 | 3.281        | 3.937 | 4.265        | 5.249 |  |  |  |  |
| LM      | 2.297                       | 2.953 | 2.625        | 3.281 | 3.281        | 4.265 |  |  |  |  |
| MF      | 0.64                        | 2.297 | 1.969        | 2.625 | 2.625        | 3.281 |  |  |  |  |
| OW      | -                           | 0.656 | -            | 1.969 | -            | 2.625 |  |  |  |  |



- Habitat maintenance over time requires increasing elevations
- Presumes salt marsh habitat is primarily guided by elevation related to sea level
- TWI elevations determined via direct elevation measurements and USACE 2017 DEM via LIDAR
- Future habitat elevation ranges are via SLAMM (Sea Level Affecting Marsh Model) to account for sea level rise, accretion, and subsidence via published resources



### Constructed Habitat Design #2: Marsh Plateaus

Addamals INSTITUTE~SINCE 1969



#### 2050





- Don't over engineer projects
  - Sediment containment is challenging, expensive, and often creates its own negative feedback loops
  - Unconfined placement allows material to spread over wide areas and for maintenance/development of tidal flushing
  - Building elevation may require multiple lifts or partial containment
  - BUDM projects are water management projects (Flow velocities from 24" dredge pipe are 22,000 gals/min and 80% water)
- Dredging efficiency and effectiveness of placements enhanced by:
  - Using Y-valves and other tools to allow placement in multiple sites easily and switching between sites/location s at a site
  - Can help control flow velocities and provide resting and settling times for placed material
  - Adaptive management during dredging and placement is nearly impossible
- Plan for placement at multiple sites to manage changing dredge material composition
- Understanding progress towards construction/ecological goals during placement difficult
- Vegetation recovery takes ~two growing seasons to initiate
  - Recovery has been almost entirely by new seeding from the seedbank
  - Planting should be delayed for at least two growing seasons if needed at all
  - Balance placing in thin layers to preserve existing vegetation vs thicker placement for more ecological uplift
- Structure project goals to include habitat and species benefits during site evolution
- Consider role of monitoring and keep focused on adaptive management or to advance practices

### Lessons Learned And Some Guiding Thoughts









# **Questions to Consider**

### Selecting Sites?

- Should marsh enhancement projects be focused on failing sites or those that have a trajectory toward failure?
- How do you balance rate of recovery vs longer term ecological uplift (thick or thin)?

#### Landscape Considerations

- Should projects provide uplift to higher elevation sites vs lower elevation site (maintain or restore elevation)?
- Marsh interior without suitable edge protection?

### Defense or Creation?

How do you think about blue carbon defense vs creation opportunities?



# **Relevant Publications**

- Beardsley, Welp, Harris, McFall, Tyler, and Savant (2022): Sediment Distribution Pipe: Modeling Tool and field Application. WEDA Journal of Dredging, v. 20 (1) 16-37.
- Chasten, Goldberg, Pasquale, Piercy, Welp, and Golden (2016): Recent Experience with Channel Dredging and Placement to Restore Wetlands In New Jersey, WODCON XXI PROCEEDINGS.
- Collins, Ferguson, Morey, and Tedesco (2021): Cape May Wetlands Wildlife Management Area Habitat Restoration Monitoring and Evaluation, <a href="https://wetlandsinstitute.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/FG19-057\_TWI\_2019-2021\_FINAL.pdf">https://wetlandsinstitute.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/FG19-057\_TWI\_2019-2021\_FINAL.pdf</a>
- Ecoshape (2018): Living Lab for MUD Brochure, <u>www.ecoshape.org</u>.
- Fall, Perkey, Tyler, and Welp (2021): Field Measurement and Monitoring of Hydrodynamic and Suspended Sediment with the Seven Mile Innovation Laboratory, New Jersey, ERDC/CHLTR-21-9, https://permanent.fdlp.gov/gpo185925/ERDC-CHLTR-21-9(1).pdf
- Fall, Perkey, Tedesco and Chasten (2022): Impact of Strategic, Unconfined, Dredged Material Placement on Turbidity Within a Shallow Back Bay System: observations from Seven Mile Island Innovation Laboratory, NJ, WEDA Journal of Dredging, v. 20 (1) 38-49.
- Rochette, Chasten, Tedesco, and Kopkash (2019): Seven Mile Island Innovation Laboratory, Overview and Purpose Fact Sheet, www.nap.usace.army.mil.
- Sea Level Rise in New Jersey: Projections and Impacts New Jersey Climate Change Resource Center," <u>https://njclimateresourcecenter.rutgers.edu/climate\_change\_101/sea-level-rise-in-new-jersey-projections-and-impacts/</u>.
- Tedesco, Chasten, Ferguson, Collins, and Davis (2021): Using Dredged Sediments to Uplift Marshes, Build Subtidal Shallows and Provide Marsh Edge Protection in the Seven Mile Island Innovation Lab, Delaware Estuary Science and Environmental Summit, <a href="https://delawareestuary.org/delaware-estuary-science-and-environmental-summit/">https://delawareestuary.org/delaware-estuary-science-and-environmental-summit/</a>
- <u>The Nature Conservancy and New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (2021).</u> Project summary and lessons learned.