

JSDA Agricultural Research Service U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Effects of riparian vegetation on bank erosion

Eddy J. Langendoen

Research Hydraulic Engineer, Lead Scientist Watershed Physical Processes Research USDA, ARS, NSL Oxford, MS



Goodwin Creek Bendway, MS, January 2023



Examples of observed vegetation effects on streambank erosion

- Reduced erosion/width:
 - Smith (1976): 20,000 times more resistance to erosion of vegetated soils
 - Beeson and Doyle (1995): erosion 30 times more prevalent on non-vegetated bends
 - Burckhardt and Todd (1998): unforested migration rate 3x larger
- Increased erosion/width:
 - Davies-Colley (1997): increasing width from pasture to native to forested riparian zones.
 - Trimble (1997): grassed reaches narrower than forested reaches



Vegetation effects on streambank erosion

- Resistance to surface erosion
- Resistance to failure
- Above ground biomass (stems and leaves)
- Below ground biomass (roots)
- Vegetation affects erosion through:
 - Raindrop interception
 - Increased infiltration and infiltration capacity
 - Soil water transpiration
 - Increased surface roughness
 - Soil aggregate stability
 - Soil reinforcement





Vegetation effects on streambank erosion

- Resistance to surface erosion
- Resistance to failure
- Above ground biomass (stems and leaves)
- Below ground biomass (roots)
- Vegetation affects erosion through:
 - Raindrop interception
 - Increased infiltration and infiltration capacity
 - Soil water transpiration
 - Increased surface roughness
 - Soil aggregate stability
 - Soil reinforcement





Vegetation effects on streambank erosion

- Resistance to surface erosion
- Resistance to failure
- Above ground biomass (stems and leaves)
- Below ground biomass (roots)
- Vegetation affects erosion through:
 - Raindrop interception
 - Increased infiltration and infiltration capacity
 - Soil water transpiration
 - Increased surface roughness
 - Soil aggregate stability
 - Soil reinforcement







Effects of vegetation on streambank stability

| | Mechanical | Hydrologic |
|---------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Stabilizing | Increased strength due to roots | Transpiration and canopy interception |
| Destabilizing | Surcharge | Increased infiltration rate and capacity |





Hydrological versus mechanical effects



From Simon and Collison, ESPL, 2002



Mechanical findings

- Trees add 5-20 kPa cohesion to soil, over about 0-100 cm depth (black willow least effective)
- Clump grasses add 10-40 kPa cohesion
- Lots of small roots potentially provide greater strength than a few big roots
- However most of the strength from trees actually comes from large sized roots – small roots make up too little area
- Significant strength achieved over 5-10 years growth





- 2% of rain is intercepted by riparian strip canopy (high intensity events, low canopy cover during winter/spring)
- Trees increase infiltration capacity, concentrating more water in upper 30-100 cm soil than on bare or grass-covered banks
- Trees maintain suction at depth (200-300 cm) into spring
- High matric suction at depth indicates deeper roots than found in survey (?)



Effects of vegetation on fluvial streambank erosion

 Hydraulic: modification of exerted forces on the soil surface

• Soil mechanical: modification of erosion resistance







- Bulk effect is an increased hydraulic resistance, generally reducing the spatially average flow magnitude
 - Complex interactions between tree/plant structure and flow magnitude
- Locally, it may lead to increased forces
 - Lateral and vertical mean flow acceleration
 - Increased turbulence





- Bulk effect is an increased hydraulic resistance, generally reducing the spatially average flow magnitude
 - Complex interactions between tree/plant structure and flow magnitude
- Locally, it may lead to increased forces
 - Lateral and vertical mean flow acceleration
 - Increased turbulence





- Bulk effect is an increased hydraulic resistance, generally reducing the spatially average flow magnitude
 - Complex interactions between tree/plant structure and flow magnitude
- Locally, it may lead to increased forces
 - Lateral and vertical mean flow acceleration
 - Increased turbulence





Note, vegetation may also lead to bulk sediment deposition





Mechanical effects of vegetation on streambank erosionresistance

Improved soil structure (binding) and cementing)

Soil-root bonding



| Tap _ root system† | Correction coefficient | | | Fibrous | Correction coefficient | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Total roots | Root binding | Root bonding | root system‡ | Total roots | Root binding | Root bonding |
| нн | 0.6067 | 0.9403 | 0.6453 | ZSH | 0.2979 | 0.6191 | 0.4812 |
| HQ | 0.6475 | 0.8840 | 0.7325 | CMC | 0.1799 | 0.8286 | 0.2171 |
| AH | 0.6848 | 0.9876 | 0.6934 | BC | 0.3040 | 0.8448 | 0.3598 |
| TGH | 0.3521 | 0.5695 | 0.6183 | YZC | 0.3176 | 0.8615 | 0.3687 |
| HZZ | 0.4883 | 0.8918 | 0.5476 | BYC | 0.4996 | 0.5580 | 0.8954 |

+ AH, Artemisia argyi Levl. Et Vant.; HH, Artemisia capillaris Thunb.; HQ, Astragalus melilotoides Pall.; HZZ, Lespedeza davurica (Laxm.) Schindl; TGH, Artemisia vestita Wall. ex Bess.

۰ð

Wang

BC, Leymus secalinus (Georgi) Tzvel; BYC, Bothriochloa ischcemum (Linn.) Keng; CMC, Stipa bungeana Trin.; YZC, Cleistogenes squarrosa (Trin.) Keng; ZSH, Poa sphondylodes Trin.



Assessment – Geotechnical stability

- Added cohesion by roots
 - Fiber bundle models such as RipRoot can quantify added cohesion
 - Extensive species database
- Added weight by trees
 - Offset by root mass reducing bulk soil weight
- Soil water movement feedback on pore-water pressure

$$au = c' + \sigma_n an \phi' - p an \phi^b$$





Assessment – Fluvial erosion

- Applied force
 - Controlled by the imposed roughness
 - Partitioning of roughness: surface roughness, drag, and cover

$$\tau = \gamma RS(1 - C_v) \frac{n'}{n_t}$$

- Resisting force
 - Generally, two or three parameters: critical shear stress and erodibility coefficient
 - Can be measured in the field or lab by a range of instrumentation

Erosion resistance parameters

$$E = K \left(\tau - \tau_c \right)$$





Assessment – Accounting for variability

- Soil erosion-resistance properties vary significantly both in space and time
- Vegetation properties vary significantly in space and time
- Best addressed using a probabilistic approach
- USACE-SPK developed a methodology for levees around the City of Sacramento



1.0E-07 1.0E-06 1.0E-05 1.0E-04 1.0E-03 1.0E-02 1.0E-01 1.0E+00 1.0E+01 1.0E+02 1.0E+03 CRITICAL SHEAR STRESS (Pa)



















Likelihood Erosion Initiates (90%) [BSTEM – Existing] Conditions]

Likelihood Erosion Progresses past levee (65%) [BSTEM – No Veg]

Full 1/325 ACE Hydrograph

> Likelihood of levee breach (0.18% or 1.8 X 10⁻³)