

SITE CHARACTERIZATION OF A RESTORED COASTAL DUNE AND BEACH

Presenter: Brian Harris – USACE-ERDC-CHL

USACE: Justin Shawler, Peter Tereszkiewics, William Caldwell, Jonathan Moore, Leigh Provost Villanova University: Jonathan Hubler University of Florida: Nina Stark

ISC – Barcelona, Spain June 19, 2024









COASTAL & US Army Corps of Engineers ABORATORY

Motivation – Coastal Threats





Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering











Hurricane Ida (2021)

Gulf of Mexico

Knowledge Gaps and Study Goal

- Knowledge gaps:
 - How do restored systems compare to natural?
 - How does vegetation impact resiliency to erosion?
 - How to best incorporate findings into numerical modeling?
- <u>Goal</u>: quantify geotechnical properties at a recently restored dune on Florida's east coast to inform coastal models.
 - (1) What are the best methods for measuring dune systems?
 - (2) How much variability in the cross- and alongshore directions?





3

US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

Study Site

ngineering With Nat

MalaCompra

- Timeline:
 - Restored Jan. 2023
 - Planted April 2023
 - Surveyed June 2023
- Textbook dune restoration (trapezoidal)
- Captured transition from restored to natural dune



US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

Methods

Dynamic Cone Penetrometer





- Dual-Mass DCP
 - o 4.8 and 8 kg weights
 - Vertek's Smart System
- PANDA DCP
 - Variable energy system (mallet)
 - Sol Solution

ATOM MASW

Wireless system

UNCLASSIFIED

- NSF Rapid Facility
- 24 geophone array
- Active or passive measurements



Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR)

- Zond Aero 500 (500MHz unit)
- Cart-based
 - Also be mounted on sled
- Trimble RTK for xyz



5

US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

UNCLASSIFIED

Results (1/3) – DCP Comparison



- <u>Resolution</u>: PANDA provided much higher resolution in shallower reaches which is most likely to be eroded
 - PANDA: 1 to 2 cm cm/blow
 - Dual Mass DCP: 1.5 to 9 cm/blow
- <u>Speed and Efficiency</u>: PANDA system offered many benefits over dual-mass system
 - Faster, more efficient (depth), and offered greater control

6

US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

Results (2/3) – DCP vs MASW





- <u>Methods</u>: DCPs performed at center of MASW array and 5-m off-end hits were used
- <u>Comparison</u>: Both methods showed decreasing stiffness as you move away from ocean
- <u>Depth</u>: MASW provided deeper data than DCP

my Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

UNCLASSIFIED

Results (3/3) – Cross and Alongshore Summary



- Formation Process: Parallel and consistent layering in restored dune
- Grain Size: Less shell content, finer grains, and poorly-graded in restored dune

US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

8

UNCLASSIFIED

Results (3/3) – Cross and Alongshore Summary



US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

UNCLASSIFIED

9

Summary

(1) Best methods and how do they compare?

- Combination of <u>Geotechnical</u> and <u>Geophysical</u> methods provided <u>holistic</u> understanding
- DCP, GPR, MASW all proved to be ~quick, relatively non-destructive methods
 - Great for sensitive dunes systems with restricted access
- PANDA system provided higher resolution vs dual mass DCP

(2) Cross- and Alongshore Variability:

- Greater variability in cross-shore vs alongshore
- Cross-shore: decreasing resistance moving from swash (beach) to restored dune
- Age and formational component: older, naturally formed dunes are more resilient than recently nourished/planted sites



10

SITE CHARACTERIZATION OF A RESTORED COASTAL DUNE AND BEACH





EWN Engineering With Nature



Fort Matanzas Inlet, FL

Brian.D.Harris@usace.army.mil